

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH P 0 BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2814

DATE MAILED:

02/10/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

Applicant(s)

08/813,200

Examiner

Advisory Action

Mike Dietrich

Group Art Unit 2814

Hirano

it

TH	IE PER	IOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) 🗀	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	p) 🔀	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	date or determ	tension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ted from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appell period	ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Ap bu	plicant t is NO	's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Jan 20, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, T deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X	The pr	oposed amendment(s):
		Il be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
		Il not be entered because:
	X	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NO.	Signiff They recited a variet disposed in the chamber exhaust and claim 28 is now clearly understood with
		respect to previous 112 problems, both would require further reconsideration and/or search.
		plicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	separa	proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a te, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
X	for all	fidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition bwance because: ther Below
	The af	fidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by aminer in the final rejection.
X	For pu	rposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
		allowed:
	Claims	objected to: 10, 11, 16, and 18
	Claims	rejected: 1-9, 12-15, 17, 19, and 27-29
	The pr	oposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.
	Note t	ne attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)
X I		Claim 12 does not require exhausting during the movement of substrate just a comparing of local exhaust to a predetermined exhaust. Claim 15 is unclear how many lines are connected to the load lock chamber, since the chamber exhaust exhausts it but is not disclosed to be connected to the load lock chamber and if the Primary Examiner

local exhaust is connected to the load lock chamber.